


Industry Perspectives Cattle



This Industry Perspective was prepared by AgWest Farm Credit's Cattle Industry Team. Direct questions and comments to the Business Management Center by email at CustomerFeedback@agwestfc.com

Disclaimer: This material is for informational purposes only and cannot be relied on to replace your own judgment or that of the professionals you work with in assessing the accuracy or relevance of the information to your own operations. Nothing in this material shall constitute a commitment by AgWest Farm Credit to lend money or extend credit. This information is provided independent of any lending, other financing or insurance transaction. This material is a compilation of outside sources and the various authors' opinions. Assumptions have been made for modeling purposes. AgWest Farm Credit does not represent that any such assumptions will reflect future events.

© 2025 AgWest Farm Credit

Industry Perspectives

Cattle

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
AgWest production	3
Value chain	4
Industry drivers	5
Best practices	7
Glossary	12

 \leq

Introduction


The U.S. beef industry consists of many production segments that collectively bring beef from the pasture to the dinner plate. The process, which starts at the ranch and ends at the retail counter or restaurant, can involve up to six different production stages. Production stages include seed-stock, commercial cow/calf, stocker, backgrounding, finishing, processing and retailing. Though some vertical integration exists, most operations specialize in just one or two segments of the production chain.

The United States ranks fourth in the world for number of cattle behind India, Brazil and China. Although U.S. cattle account for a small portion of the world's cattle inventory, the United States ranks number one in beef production.

AgWest production

Montana's expansive landscape provides the necessary resources for many cow/calf operations. The state ranks seventh nationally in total beef cow inventory with 1.27 million head. California comes in 16th with 665,000 head. Oregon and Idaho follow, ranking at 19th and 21st with 507,000 and 449,000 head, respectively. Washington ranks 32nd in the nation with 221,000 head and Arizona is 35th with 175,000 head. Cattle feeding is a significant component of the West's beef industry. California, Idaho, and Washington rank in the 10 top cattle feeding states. Washington is home to two long established commercial packing houses and Idaho has newer facilities. In May 2017, CS Beef completed construction and began operating a new meat packing plant near Kuna, Idaho. As of 2023, True West Beef is a fully operational "mid-sized" packing plant located in Jerome, Idaho.

Beef cow inventory by county within AgWest territory



Cattle on Feed, January 1, 2024

State	Cattle on Feed
Arizona	237,000
California	520,000
Idaho	345,000
Montana	38,000
Washington	275,000
Oregon	USDA data not available

Source: USDA NASS Quick Stats

Value chain

The first stage of beef production lies in the genetic make-up of the cattle herd. Purebred cattle producers, also known as seed-stock producers, are responsible for engineering the genetic traits that are bred into U.S. cattle herds. These ranchers raise breeding stock, which are sold to the second segment of the value chain – the commercial cow/calf operation. Commercial cow/calf operations maintain a herd that produces calves for consumption. Calves are weaned between 6 and 8 months of age and weigh between 450 and 600 pounds.

After weaning, calves enter the third stage of production, called backgrounding. Backgrounding, which can take place in a feedlot or on the range (an operation that backgrounds cattle on range or pasture is referred to as a "stocker" operation), is the time when weaned calves, or feeder calves, grow into yearlings. During this time, the calves usually gain 300 to 500 pounds over a period of 4 to 6 months. After the backgrounding process is complete, yearlings weigh between 800 and 950 pounds. At this point, they are ready to enter the fourth production segment, called finishing. Finishing is a process in which an energy rich ration is fed to the cattle until they reach a market weight of 1,200 to 1,400 pounds. Finishing usually occurs in a feedlot and takes between 3 and 5 months.

Once cattle have reached their market weight, they are ready for the fifth stage of production, known as processing. Beef processing occurs in large indoor facilities, known as packing plants. The beef carcass is segmented into eight primal cuts, known as the chuck, brisket, rib, plate, short-loin, flank, sirloin and round. Primal cuts are then reduced into sub-primal cuts. Individual portions derived from sub-primal cuts are segmented into individual steaks and roasts, as well as ground beef. The steaks, roasts and ground beef are packaged into boxes and shipped to retail outlets. Then, the boxed beef enters the sixth and final production stage. Retailing is the process in which the boxed beef is prepared for consumer purchase at either the grocery store or restaurant.

Many business alliances have developed linking the various stages of the production process. For example, operations that specialize in backgrounding may or may not own the cattle they are backgrounding. The cattle could be owned completely or in part by the cow/calf operation or feedlot. Other alliances come in the form of branded beef programs. Under these programs, operations in the early production stages can receive premiums (paid by operations in the later production stages) for producing cattle that meet certain quality criteria. Alliances continue to develop and can span the entire spectrum of the production chain.

Feedlot distribution

The United States' cattle feeding and packing industries are centered in the Midwest, with small regional centers in southern Washington, Southern Idaho, Central California and Arizona. The commonality among these regions is access to high quality feed.

The western cattle feeding industry is relatively small in comparison to the Midwest. The larger segment of the feeding industry in the west is the backgrounding and 'grow lot' segment. These operations feed both owned and custom cattle, and are usually part of a larger, more diversified cow/calf or yearling operation. Backgrounding and feedlots generally range from 1,000 to 6,000 head with some as large as 20,000 head. This segment of the feeding industry enjoys increased marketing options and can add less expensive pounds to lighter weight cattle. The following practices are typical of backgrounding and grow lot operations:

- 1. Background/grow feeder cattle from fall weaning (usually 450-600 pounds), and then fed to 800-900 pounds for sale into a finishing lot in February to April.
- 2. Maintain and grow light-weight calves (400-600 pounds) to return to summer grazing. These cattle are generally sold directly from pasture to a finishing lot between August and September and typically weigh 850 to 950 pounds.
- 3. Develop beef replacement heifers for sale or placement in the operation's breeding herd.

U.S. beef processing industry

The United States' beef processing industry is dominated by four primary players. The following table summarizes industry market share. Approximately 100,000 cattle are processed daily in the U.S., though this number can fluctuate due to seasonal changes and market conditions.

Estimated U.S. Beef Processing Industry Market Si	hare
---------------------------------------------------	------

Feeding Company	Percent of Industry
JBS	20%-25%
Tyson	20%-25%
Cargill	20%-25%
National Beef	10%-15%
All Others	10%-20%

Source: Processing companies' websites and other sources.

JBS is the largest animal protein company in the world. JBS has its U.S. headquarters in Greeley, Colorado. However, the United States division is part of a larger, global company headquartered in Sao Paulo, Brazil. JBS is the world's largest meat processor and the company has a strong export market. JBS purchased the United States' largest cattle feeding company (Five Rivers Cattle Feeding) and several large American beef packing companies between 2007 and 2008. In doing so, JBS went from an unknown in the United States to the country's largest cattle feeder and beef packer.

Tyson Foods originated in the poultry industry but expanded into the beef packing industry with the acquisition of IBP Inc. in late 2001. At that time, Tyson was the largest beef packer in the United States. Today, Tyson is JBS' largest competitor in the U.S. and is the largest beef packer in Canada.

Cargill is a privately held, multi-national company specializing in food, agricultural, and industrial products and services. Cargill has a long history in the protein industries, and its beef packing division rivals the size of JBS and Tyson.

National Beef Packing Company is a farmer-rancher owned business specializing in the beef industry. It is the fourth largest beef packer in the Unites States.

Industry drivers

The size of the domestic beef cattle herd and the financial health of the American consumer underpin the current dynamics of the U.S. beef industry. Over the past 40 years, the U.S. beef cattle herd numbers have declined as beef production becomes more efficient and consumer demand for beef has generally eroded. In 1999, Americans consumed an average of 97 pounds of beef per capita, this dropped to 83 pounds of beef consumed in 2020. Export markets and environmental conditions also play a key role in cattle and beef markets.


Cow/calf segment

Cow herd cycle

The national beef cattle herd has been declining since its peak in the 1970s. This decline was partly due to programs in the 1980s that incentivized producers to reduce their herds, along with more efficient practices that increased carcass weights and beef production per animal. The cattle industry operates in cycles, where cattle numbers decrease as producers hold back to rebuild, followed by cattle numbers increasing, liquidation, and then a transitional phase from liquidation to rebuilding. These cycles typically last between 10-15 years.

Between 1987 and 2007, the national beef cattle herd decreased by nearly 4%. From 2007 to 2014, it shrank by an additional 11%. Economic recovery in the U.S. during 2014 led to strong cattle prices, encouraging cow-calf producers to

start rebuilding their herds, initiating the current cattle cycle. By 2024, national cattle numbers reached a 70-year low. Looking ahead, it is likely that producers will focus on retaining cattle to rebuild their herds, starting a new cattle cycle.



Jan. 1 Inventory, U.S. Cattle Cycles

Source: USDA NASS.

Availability of feeder cattle

Canada and Mexico are an important source of feeder cattle for the U.S., particularly for feedlots near the international borders including those in the Northwest and Texas. Imports of Canadian and Mexican feeder cattle reached record levels in 2014. This cross-border trade is vital for maintaining the supply chain and supporting the U.S. cattle industry. On average, the U.S. imports around 1.9 million head of cattle annually, with a significant portion coming from Canada and Mexico. These imports are essential for U.S. feedlots, which rely on a steady supply of feeder cattle to meet demand.

From Canada, the U.S. imports a diverse mix of cattle, including slaughter steers and heifers, slaughter cows and bulls, feeder cattle, and breeding cattle. Over the past decade, cattle imports from Canada have averaged about 790,000 head per year. Mexico, on the other hand, primarily supplies feeder cattle and imports more than 1.1 million head on average annually. This steady flow of feeder cattle from Mexico is crucial for feedlots in states like Arizona, Texas, and New Mexico, which depend on these imports to maintain their operations. In late 2024, the U.S. temporarily banned the import of feeder cattle due to the detection of New World Screwworm (NWS) in a southern Mexican state. Mexico and the U.S. are collaborating to establish quarantine protocols and lift the ban. Once these measures are in place, the regular flow of feeder cattle from Mexico to the U.S. is expected to resume

Beef trade

The health of the global economy affects demand for U.S. beef exports. As foreign consumers' standard of living improves, their diets include more protein. Since 2010, exports have been a significant demand driver for U.S. beef. Top export destinations include Japan, South Korea, China, Mexico and Canada. Exports not only provide new markets for U.S. beef, but exports also support the value of beef byproducts including variety meats (e.g., tongue, cheek meat and hearts), tallow and hide. Increased sales and higher prices for these items result in stronger overall live cattle values and help to improve packer's margins. Imports of beef typically consist of ground beef due to domestic consumer demand. Over the past decade, U.S. imports of fresh or chilled beef have more than doubled. In 2023, Canada supplied about 50% of these imports, while Mexico accounted for 34%.

Environment

Weather conditions significantly influence the quality of pasture and feed, often acting as a major driver for the cattle industry. Widespread drought was a key factor in herd liquidations during 2011-2013 and 2019-2023. Many western producers depend heavily on grazing permits and natural pasturelands to feed their cattle. When drought conditions, combined with severe wildfires, reduce pasture availability or diminish pasture quality, it creates significant challenges for cattle producers.

Consumers

Between 2020 and 2023, prices for all goods and services surged, driven by supply chain disruptions from the COVID-19 pandemic, and beef was no exception. The combination of rising prices and general inflation raised concerns among producers about a potential decline in beef demand. However, domestic demand has remained strong despite higher beef prices, suggesting that consumers view beef as a superior good that is distinct from other meats and not easily substituted. As the pandemic has waned, beef prices remain elevated, supported by a reduced national cattle herd due to drought, which has limited supply. Direct-to-consumer sales through unconventional markets have also risen as the price gap between these sales and grocery store purchases has narrowed.

Slaughter capacity

Slaughter capacity has been another point of contention for beef producers of late. With the slowed supply chain, processors are booking out months, making it difficult for producers to get their product to market on time. Larger commercial processing facilities have reported 25%-43% capacity losses simply because of transportation and supply chain shortcomings.

Best practices

Successful western cattle producers and cattle feeders understand that consumers are the main demand driver in the beef industry. Cattle operations need to observe trends throughout the protein complex, especially supply and demand drivers for protein on a regional, national and global scale.

The primary goal of a cattle operation is to consistently provide beef with characteristics consumers demand – mainly consistent taste and quality at a fair price. Additionally, the successful operator understands his or her target market and attempts to position his or her operation to take advantage of multiple opportunities within that market.

Successful operations focus on the factors in their immediate control such as input costs, feed availability, resource control, cattle genetics and herd health, and welfare to meet consumers' expectations. Effective marketing plans and margin management are supported by implementing appropriate risk management strategies. In addressing these factors Western producers and feeders employ 'best practices,' which fall into four primary areas:

- 1. General management
- 2. Financial management
- 3. Production management
- 4. Marketing management

General and financial management

Continuing education

Successful producers routinely seek out and attend industry conferences and educational seminars to enhance their understanding of the industry, support issues they cannot influence individually, broaden their local and regional networks, and improve management skills.

Record keeping

Good financial and herd record keeping are essential to the successful producer. Well-maintained financial records help operators track profitability and operating costs, provide a basis to make effective budgeting decisions and support better access to credit. Successful producers also use key financial ratios and metrics in business planning. This includes calculating break-evens, completing a sensitivity analysis of all projections and using benchmarking as an analysis tool. Keeping these records by enterprise (calves, heifers, stockers and fats) will help managers understand where there are inefficiencies and opportunities. Business operating plans are a useful tool to provide a whole-operation view and outline current and future management actions.

Production records assist in making marketing and herd health decisions. Documenting genetic, nutrition and herd health management practices is the cornerstone of higher bids at sale time. Nationally and internationally, food safety and traceability issues have come to the forefront. Utilizing technology like EID or RFID in live cattle may generate premiums currently or, in the future, be required under federal regulation. Well-kept management records in combination with EID or RFID provide information supporting food safety and traceability.

Profitability and cost control

Profitability in the cattle industry is a function of both maximizing revenues and controlling costs. Operations with low breakeven prices are better positioned to withstand volatile markets. However, successful producers understand that low-

cost production is not equivalent to keeping all fixed and variable costs to a minimum. Ultimately, net profit will determine the profitability of an operation. Successful operators produce the highest number of quality calves or feeders possible while aggressively managing fixed costs and variable costs with a long-term outlook in mind.

Cost control strategies involve monitoring variables in the input and output markets that have the largest effect on profitability and managing the ones the operation can impact the most. This may involve prepaying or forward contracting for inputs or participating with other producers in bulk input purchases. These practices should be employed as part of a three-aspect risk management approach incorporating inputs, interest rates and marketing outputs. Locking in input costs without considering sales price, for example, creates market risk.

When considering expansion and planning for the associated costs, cow-calf and feeder operators must consider that operational growth more often occurs in a stair-step fashion. Increasing the production herd often will require added labor or land. To best utilize the commitment to those additional land and labor costs requires an increase in the production herd sufficient to optimize profitability from the added fixed costs.

Risk

Successful producers find a way to manage risk within their operation. Risk management looks different for cow/calf and feeding industry segments because cattle feeders hold price risk in the purchasing of feeder cattle and their sale. Cow-calf producers retain production stock each year but hold price risk for marketable calves, purchasing or growing out replacement heifers and the sale and depreciation risk on culls or breeds. There are some general practices beneficial for both. Financial planning and responsible debt structuring are key ways to minimize interest rate, cost and risk. Utilizing tools like forward contracts, futures and options and insurance help build a strong price risk management program. When using these tools, a producer will often forgo some profits in good times, but also limit losses in tough times. Most importantly, price risk management programs guard against catastrophic losses that can result from extraordinary events such as changes in public policy, bad press, drought, input cost fluctuations and sudden loss of markets.

Additionally, successful cow-calf producers and feeders view their relationship with the buyer of their cattle as a partnership because packers and feeders are looking for a reliable and uniform stream of cattle through their operations. Feeder and packer partnerships sometimes use profit/loss sharing agreements to incentivize both parties in the relationship towards a common goal. In the current market, many feedlot operators and processors also view the known history of cattle on feed as a tool to manage risk, determine marketing options, and predict performance and retail value of cattle. It is important for the calf-calf operator to have a strong relationship with their feeder so the feeder is informed about the cow-calf operator's management practices. The added value of this information is often bid into the price of the cattle when marketed.

Due diligence analysis of suppliers and buyers is also a best practice for all producers. Most operations prepay or forward contract for inputs or supplies and also use standard and/or forward contracts for the sale and/or purchase of their cattle. Determining the financial condition and stability of buyers and suppliers is a key component of keeping costs low. The ability of business partners to deliver or fulfill their contracts is critical.

Production management

Efficient calving season

For the cow-calf producer, managing the cow herd to calve within a predetermined range (typically 60-80 days) is a crucial step toward increasing efficiency and profitability. Good nutrition, ensuring bull prolificacy and culling unproductive cows will shorten the calving season. Calving can be labor intensive so reducing the calving window reduces labor costs. Time and labor are important and expensive commodities for a cow-calf producer. A calf crop born in a short window results in more uniform calves for buyers. Considering changes to management and season of calving may make calving more efficient given a producer's unique land, labor and management resources.

Calving and weaning rates and weights

Healthier cow-calf herds will have better calving and weaning rates. Calving and weaning rates refer to the number of calves born and ultimately weaned versus the number of cows exposed to breeding. Based on CattleFax data, industry benchmarks are 91% for calving and 88% or better for weaning, with most successful operators reaching a 90% weaning ratio. Calving and weaning rates have a significant effect on profitability. Spreading costs over more calves lowers cattle producers' overall cost of production. Successful producers employ measures to maximize the number of calves weaned per cow, and thereby the number of pounds sold per cow.

Calving rate or breed up, is most commonly influenced by cow health, nutrition and reproductive soundness. If a cow does not readily breed up due to poor health, condition or physical deformities, she is less likely to produce a calf. Such a cow

should be reviewed for cull annually. Good records are needed to make those decisions. Paying special attention to cow and heifer condition just prior to breeding ensures high conception rates. Maintaining adequate vaccination protocols and limiting stress during pregnancy reduces abortions. Ensuring cows are in good condition, especially just prior to calving and early in lactation, will ensure the cow has the energy to maintain herself while providing for a growing calf. Bull selection to manage calf birth weights can reduce both cow and calf mortality during birthing. More cows bred and fewer dead calves result in higher calving rates.

Weaning rates are primarily influenced by maintaining good herd health. In regions where predation occurs, though, weaning rates may be reduced due to factors largely outside of the producer's control. Working with local and state trappers and USDA Animal & Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) can help reduce predation. Frequent monitoring of cattle while on the range and rapid carcass removal can reduce predator attractants.

Weaning weights can also be affected by several factors, including weather, genetics, feed availability and health. Successful cow-calf operators purchase quality bulls to improve the genetics and performance of calves. The use of growth implants to increase weaning weights in calves often depends on their marketing program. Natural and organic beef are marketed through contract arrangements that prohibit the use of growth implants in calves, further limiting the use of medicines and feed additives. Natural and organic programs often wean lighter calves but may receive marketing premiums.

Preconditioning, weaned, backgrounding, and stocker programs

Preconditioning refers to the kind of vaccination protocol a ranch implements, there are two kinds. PreCon 1 means calves have received vaccinations at branding. PreCon 2 means calves received vaccination at weaning. Cow-calf operators may implement additional measures to prepare their calves for sale. Weaned calves have been fully weaned and trained to a feed bunk in the 45-60 days prior to sale as well as received PreCon 2 vaccinations. Weaned calves have a lower mortality rate and perform better in feedlots. Feedlots want cattle that have been weaned and preconditioned with an identified health program. They are willing to pay cattle producers a premium averaging between \$4.00 and \$8.00 per cwt for calves properly preconditioned and accompanied by good records. Cow-calf producers may also incorporate a stocker or backgrounding component into their operation by retaining marketable cattle for 4-6 months following weaning. The cattle are on diets to produce slower gains than cattle of the same age in feedlots, but by retaining cattle, a producer is able to supply cattle to feedlots out of the normal market cycle.

Feed availability

Successful operations monitor feed quantity and availability for the cow herd. In drought conditions, herd numbers should be reduced to match available forage. In situations or seasons where feed quality or availability is poor, supplements should be provided in the most cost-effective manner.

Some of the best practices employed by successful producers include:

- Matching the cow production cycle to the most efficient use of available land, labor and feed resources
- Matching cow breed and size to the feed available
- Maximizing the use of crop residue for fall forage
- · Testing the quality of forages and winter feed supplies to only supplementing deficiencies
- Matching feed quality and quantity to the different stages of production (e.g., lower quality forage for dry cows)
- Implementing range management practices which may improve carrying capacity like improved water infrastructure, cross fencing and grazing systems
- Sorting cows into smaller groups based on production stage to manage for their specific nutritional needs

Feed availability and forage quality also involve several land use and control issues. Land available for grazing continues to decrease through increased regulation of public lands, increased wildlife and endangered species regulations, and the sale of private lands to non-agricultural interests. Successful producers seek to control forage resources through least-cost combinations of land ownership, long-term leases and share-cattle agreements.

Feedlot production management

Bringing new cattle into the feedlot

Depending on the feedlot manager's relationship with the cattle supplier, they may have some or no knowledge of the preconditioning or weaned status of new cattle. Clear communication will help determine what processing may need to occur when cattle arrive. Weaned calves, for example, will already be bunk broke and adjusted to a higher nutritional

profile. If not, the feedlot manager must take steps to adjust cattle to a feed yard environment. Frequent monitoring is critical when bringing new cattle into the feedlot as the stress of travel and facility change can increase illness.

Feeding and pen management

Because the business of feedlots is growth performance, good managers have a detailed plan for selecting, securing and distributing feed. Supplying high-quality, adequate quantity feed each day ensures that management's time and facility investment receives the maximum return. Balancing cattle nutritional needs, intake preferences, supply and the equipment to distribute is the challenge managers face. Good practices may include working with a livestock nutritionist, having feed sampled, forward contracting feed and improving technologies for distributing feed. Successful managers also know that environmental factors play a strong role in cattle performance. Extreme heat or cold can reduce gains without adequate shelter and adjustments to feed. If animals are penned with too little bunk or pen space, stress from competition will reduce gains. Lastly, feedlots must have a strong program for managing manure. Too much manure buildup, especially during wet weather, will result in a poor environment for producing positive gains. Good cattle feedlot managers will develop facilities conducive to frequent manure removal as well as plan for the sale or utilization of manure.

Efficiency measures

There are several industry measures related to production efficiency that feedlot managers use to evaluate performance and profitability. The following terms and related formulas are the most common efficiency measures.

- Cost of Gain, calculated as: (total variable costs feeder cost) / pounds of gain
- Average Daily Gain, calculated as: pounds of gain / days on feed
- Feed Conversion, calculated as: pounds of feed (dry) / pounds of gain
- Break-even, defined as the sale price (\$ per cwt) at which the owner of the cattle does not make or lose money

The benchmark measurement for cost analysis is cost of gain, which simply states how much it costs a feedlot to grow an animal by one pound. Average daily gain is a measure of how quickly an animal is grown to market weight. The higher the average daily gain, the fewer fixed costs required for finishing. Two and a half pounds to 4 pounds per day is a general range of average daily gain, with 4 pounds per day being very good and 2.5 pounds per day being low. The cost of a bushel of corn has the largest influence on the cost of gain in feedlots.

Feed conversion, also known as the feed-to-gain ratio, is a measure of how efficiently an animal converts the feed ration into pounds of beef. This ratio can vary widely depending on several factors including age, breed, diet, implants, management and environmental conditions. The most important factor is probably age. In general, younger animals consume less feed per unit of weight gain than older animals. Heavier weight cattle are typically less efficient at utilizing feed, so feed conversions decrease as placement weights rise. Feed conversions average anywhere from 5 to 9 pounds of feed per pound of gain.

Break-even analysis allows the feedlot operator an estimate of how much they can pay for their calves. Breakeven prices can be calculated for the entire feeding period or only a certain part of the feeding program. By looking at different parts of the feeding program (e.g., growing versus finishing), a producer can determine where the most returns can be obtained. All successful operations employ some type of analysis of input variables as well as output price risk management when making production decisions.

General production management

Herd health

Managing herd health is an important part of maintaining a strong herd while maximizing revenues and reducing costs. Good producers shift herd health expenditures to preventative measures rather than treatment. Preventative measures may include reducing stress during handling and transport, maintaining a closed herd or ensuring quarantine and disease testing prior to incorporating new stock, a strong vaccination and parasite control protocol, providing clean water, ensuring adequate nutrition based on physiological status, and separating livestock from repeat feeding areas via bunks or frequently rotating feeding grounds. Healthier herds experience fewer death losses and have greater gains increasing weaning percentages, weaning weights, average daily gain and returns.

One way for producers to learn about better herd health, well-being and quality management is the Beef Quality Assurance (BQA) program. Completing the BQA program also results in certification improving marketing potential. Cutting corners when it comes to herd health is not recommended for cow-calf or feedlot producers.

Low death loss

Death loss in cow-calf operations refers to the number of calves born compared to calves weaned. Target death loss is approximately a 3% death loss or less. Some operators may calculate death loss as the number of cows confirmed as bred during pregnancy testing versus calves weaned which would include death losses due to abortions or dead-on-arrival. Target death loss would be higher in this instance. For feeders, it refers to the number of cattle purchased versus the number sold out of the feedlot. Most feeding operations strive for 1% death loss or less. For both kinds of operations, death loss increases breakeven costs for the remaining animals and reduces profit potential. Successful producers employ good herd health practices, understanding the immediate costs to improve herd health support long-term gains. While proactive measures are ideal, preventing death loss also relies on reactive measures which usually include regular inspections of the herd or feedlot pens and rapid treatment of sick or lame animals.

Marketing planning

Understanding and planning for volatile market cycles, marketing options and quality requirements is a best practice for producers. With increased market volatility, margin management is critical. If producers understand their own margins, they can limit the decision-making influence of market predictions or opinions and maximize profitable opportunities. In addition, it will be critical for producers to bridge the gap between knowing what should be done and executing. Disciplined planning and risk management are critical to success.

Good marketing managers understand available risk management tools and employ them appropriately. A comprehensive marketing plan designed to obtain maximum value will include several of the following best practices:

- Analyze and track seasonal market cycles for the classes of cattle sold by the producer
- Complete breakeven and sensitivity analysis of all marketing options to take advantage of buying and selling
 opportunities
- Utilize forward contracting, hedging, or options as a price risk management tool
- Obtain livestock revenue insurance as a price risk management tool
- Develop alliances with feedlots, packers and/or retailers who participate in marketing labeled products like Certified Black Angus
- Engage in profit and loss sharing agreements with packers to manage risk and volatility
- Participate in special seasonal sales featuring specific traits such as age and source verified calves
- Participate in video, local auction and/or private treaty sales and plan for a 2-3% commission
- Enlist the assistance of seed stock providers to promote genetics
- Make copies of production records to promote the genetics, past performance, nutrition management, and health and welfare management of the cattle
- Maintain age and source verification records
- Sort and sell cattle in uniform groups to match market demand (plan sale groups based on truck loads)
- Diversify by managing a portion of the calf crop through the stocker or finishing phase (specific to cow/calf and yearling operations)
- Maintain partial retained ownership through the finish phase (specific to cow/calf and yearling operations)

Other practices can also be beneficial to a comprehensive marketing plan. Alliances in certified beef and natural beef programs are popular. Overall, certification programs vary widely in evaluating quality. Although cattle breed and/or carcass characteristics may be certified by the USDA, certification of these characteristics is beyond requirements for USDA grades.

Natural beef programs are found throughout the U.S., with western programs including Country Natural Beef, Painted Hills, Meyers Natural Angus and Montana Ranch Brand. Responding to consumer demand, natural beef can be purchased at all retail levels, including restaurants, specialty and natural markets, and grocery stores. Industry data over the previous four years shows participation in a premium program like Certified Angus Beef (CAB) or a natural program adds \$3.00 to \$7.00 per cwt to the sale prices. Similar premiums are paid for NHTC (non-hormone treated cattle), Wagyu, Beef CARE (Community of Agriculturalists who Respect the Earth), and GAP (Global Animal Partnership) certification.

Glossary

Antibiotic - Product produced by living organisms such as yeast that destroys or inhibits the growth of other organisms, especially bacteria.

Average daily gain (ADG) - Pounds of live weight gained per day.

Backgrounding - Growing program based on a forage-based ration for feeder cattle from time calves are weaned until they are on a finishing ration in the feedlot with the intent of adding frame but not fat to the cattle.

Basis - Difference between the cash market price and the futures market price.

Bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) - A degenerative disease that affects the central nervous system of cattle.

Branded beef product - A specifically labeled product that is differentiated from commodity items by its brand name. Certified Angus Beef, Laura's Lean, or Cattlemen's Collection are examples.

Breakeven price - Volume of output required for revenue to equal the total of fixed and variable expenses.

Breeding stock - male and/or female bovine used to produce calves.

Calf - Young male or female bovine animal under 1 year of age.

Calve - Giving birth to a calf. Same as parturition.

CattleFax - Nonprofit marketing organization governed by cattle producers. Market analysis and information is provided to members by a staff of market analysts.

Choice - USDA carcass quality grade between Prime and Select. See quality grades.

Closed herd – Preventing biological contact of external animals (new purchases, wildlife, neighbor's cattle) with a herd.

Cost of gain - Total of all costs divided by the total pounds gained; usually expressed on a per-pound basis.

Cow-calf operation - Management unit that maintains a breeding herd and produces weaned calves.

Custom feeding - Cattle feeders who provide facilities, labor, feed, and care as a service, but they do not own the cattle.

Feed conversion - See "feed efficiency."

Feed efficiency - The amount of feed required to produce a unit of weight gain or milk, or alternatively the amount of gain made per unit of feed.

Fed cattle - Steers and heifers that have been fed concentrates, usually for 90-120 days in a feedlot or until they reach a desired slaughter weight.

Finished cattle - Fed cattle whose time in the feedlot is completed and are now ready for slaughter.

Forward contracting - Future delivery of a specified type and amount of product at a specified price.

Hedge - Risk management strategy that allows a producer to lock in a price for a given commodity at a specified time.

Heifer - Young female bovine cow prior to the time that she has produced her first calf.

National Cattlemen's Beef Association (NCBA) - National organization for cattle breeders, producers, feeders, and affiliated organizations with offices in Englewood, CO, and Washington, DC. Previously known as the National Cattlemen's Association or NCA.

National Cattlemen Magazine - Monthly magazine owned by the National Cattlemen's Beef Association (NCBA).

Natural beef - Refers to beef from cattle that have not been fed growth stimulants or antibiotics.

Packing plant - Facility in which cattle are slaughtered and processed.

Parturition - Process of giving birth.

Preconditioning – Vaccination protocol to prepare feeder calves for marketing and shipment.

Prime - USDA highest carcass quality in terms of marbling. Ranks above grades such as Choice, Select, and Standard. See quality grades.

Processing - Vaccinations and implants given to new feedlot cattle as well as sorting into similar age, weight, and sex classes.

Purebred - Animal eligible for registry with a recognized breed association.

Quality grades - Grades such as Prime, Choice, Select, Standard and Utility that group slaughter cattle and carcasses into value- and palatability-based categories. Grades are determined primarily by marbling and age of animal.

Seed-stock - Breeding animals. Sometimes used interchangeably with purebred.

Select - USDA carcass quality grade between Choice and Standard See quality grades.

Steer - Bovine male castrated prior to puberty.

Stocker - Growing program based on grazing for feeder cattle from time calves are weaned until they are on a finishing ration in the feedlot with the intent of adding frame but not fat to the cattle.

Weaned calves - Calves that have been fully weaned and trained to a feed bunk in the 45-60 days prior to sale as well as received vaccinations.

Weaning (wean) - Separating young animals from their dams so that the offspring can no longer suckle.

Yield grades - USDA grades identifying differences in cutability – the boneless, fat trimmed retail cuts from the round, loin, rib, and chuck.